

# Long term Measurements of Stratospheric Ozone by NIES Ozone DIAL at Tsukuba NDSC complementary station

Chan Bong Park, Hideaki Nakane, Nobuo Sugimoto, Ichiro Matsui,  
Yasuhiro Sasano, and Yasumi Fujinuma

*National Institute for Environmental Studies,  
16-2 Onogawa, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305 Japan.*

Izumi-Ikeuchi, Jun-Ichi-Kurokawa, and Noritaka Furuhashi

*Fujitsu FIP Coporation,  
Aomi Koto-Ku, Tokyo 135-8686, Japan*

**Abstract.** National Institute for Environmental Studies (NIES) in Japan has measured the vertical profiles of ozone by laser radar (lidar) at Tsukuba (36 N, 140 E) since 1988. Recently, a version-2 data processing and retrieval algorithm for ozone, temperature and aerosols lidar measurements was developed. In the algorithm, a new method to obtain the upper boundary of aerosol layer and the extinction/backscatter ratio of aerosols are used for more accurate determination of the vertical profiles of aerosols and more accurate correction of systematic errors due to the aerosols in the vertical profiles of ozone. Improvements in signal processing are incorporated for corrections of systematic errors such as the signal induced noise and the dead time effect. As a result of these corrections, improved ozone profiles are achieved. Comparison of the ozone profiles measured by lidar and SAGE II showed agreement within 5% in altitude range from 18 km to 40 km when the version-2 algorithm.

## Lidar system and Algorithm

The lidar is a typical UV DIAL (Differential Absorption Lidar) system with high power lasers and a large telescope. Several changes of the system have been made since the installation in 1988. 308nm, 339nm, 351 nm lasers and 2 m Cassegrain type telescope were used for the DIAL ozone measurements during a period of 1988-1995. For the better efficiency of the system, the 308 nm laser was replaced by the high power system of LPX 150 in 1995. In 1996, the 351 nm and the 339 nm Raman lasers were removed and 355 nm Coherent Infinity™ Nd:YAG laser was installed. Also the telescope changed to 1 m Newtonian. Currently, the NIES lidar system uses 308/355 nm (DIAL) for lower stratospheric ozone measurements. The 355 nm is used for aerosols and temperature measurements. The laser output energy at the 308 nm and 355 nm is 400 mJ and 300 mJ respectively. Figure 1 shows the block diagram of NIES DIAL system. In the version-2 algorithm, to achieve more accurate aerosol profiles, the method to determine the aerosol parameters is improved. That is boundary altitude where no aerosol exists and the ratio of extinction coefficient to backscatter of aerosol ( $S_1$ ). By

the comparison of lidar temperature profile retrieved from the lidar return signal (include the both Mie and Rayleigh scattering terms) with NCEP temperature profile, the boundary altitude is determined where the difference is minimum in the range of 20-45 km. As a result of the estimation, we found the boundary altitude mainly located at 41-32.5 km for the period of large Pinatubo aerosol and 32.5-24 km from middle of 1993 to 2004. The 3 to 7 K error of the NCEP temperature error at 31K to 40 km may cause an uncertainty of 8 to 20 km in the boundary (aerosol-free-bottom) altitude. The ratio of extinction to backscatter of aerosols is achieved using the value of the SAGE II aerosol extinction coefficient and lidar aerosol backscattering coefficient. Because priority assumed values of  $S_1$  is used for the initial calculation of  $S_1$ , iteration process is applied. The finally achieved  $S_1$  value shows the variations of 20-25 during 1992-1995 and 26-30 for 1996-1999. These achieved aerosol profiles are used to





Figure 1. Block diagram of NIES ozone DIAL system




**Figure 2.** Flow chart of signal and data processing

correct the aerosol effects on the calculation of ozone. To get the pure backscatter signal, a method to remove the background noise and the signal induced noise simultaneously was developed and applied. Also using the high/low channel combined method, the problem of dead time effect caused by the shortage of dynamic range of the system was solved. Figure 2 shows the flow chart of the signal and data processing.


## Measurements and Comparisons

We confirmed that our aerosol correction method in the ozone calculation is working well even in the condition of heavy Pinatubo aerosol in stratosphere by the comparisons of the lidar profiles with ozone sonde profiles. Figure 3 shows the example of the comparison between the lidar and the sonde when the peak of Pinatubo aerosol backscattering ratio reached to 2.3 on February 25, 1992. The sonde profile was measured at Tateno station (36°N, 141°E) located near Tsukuba. Below 17 km, small differences occurred that may be caused by the meteorological changes between the measurements time. In the altitude range of 17-37 km, lidar profiles showed very good agreement with sonde profiles. From the results of all comparisons for 1988-2003, no significant system biases of the lidar and the sonde are founded. For the reciprocal validation of the lidar and SAGE II, inter-comparison of mean ozone concentration between the lidar and SAGE II is performed. Generally good agreement between the lidar and SAGE II were reported with below 10% differences in the altitude region of 20-40 km. For the comparison, the spatial and temporal criteria are set as  $\pm 20^\circ$  (longitude),  $< \pm 3^\circ$  (latitude), and  $\pm 24^h$  (time). And, to avoid the possible short term dynamical effects in the



**Figure 3.** Comparison of lidar ozone concentration profile with Sonde and aerosol backscattering ratio measured on 2-25, 1992.

comparison, profiles that were measured when the difference of Potential Vorticity (PV) intensity between the position of lidar and SAGE II exceed more than 2 sigma of standard deviation are excluded from the comparison. The values of 2 sigma of standard deviation  $10^{-8} \cdot m^2 \cdot s^{-1} \cdot kg^{-1} \cdot k$  are 3.1, 3.09, and 4.1 at the layers of 435 K, 450 K, and 475 K respectively. For the calculation of the PV, NIES forecasts maps based on NCEP grid data are used. Finally 38 daily profiles of the lidar and SAGE II are selected for the comparison. Figure 4 shows the mean ozone profiles of the lidar and SAGE II (left) and the relative difference (right). The variability that root mean square difference is also plotted with the relative



**Figure 4.** Inter-comparison of mean vertical profile between lidar and SAGE II (left) and relative % differences (right)

difference. As a result, very good agreements are achieved in the altitude range of 20-40 km with only 5% differences, and 10% up to 45 km. Also, in the range of 19-16 km, the difference is much decreased from 20% to below 10% by applying the PV selection method to the comparison.


during 1988-2004. SAGE II ozone data ( $< 3^\circ$  latitude,  $< 20^\circ$  longitude) and the ozone sonde (within 24 hr) are plotted together in the figure. The ozone concentration of lidar, SAGE II, and ozone sondes coincide well each other at all the altitudes.

### Summary

Detailed descriptions of the version-2 algorithm for accurate determination of vertical ozone concentration are presented. Several new correction methods in signal processing and data retrieval processing are applied to

### Long term variation

Figure 5 shows the temporal long-term variation of the ozone concentration at 15, 20, 25, 30, 35, and 40 km



**Figure 5.** long-term variation of ozone at the altitude of 15, 20, 25, 30, 35 and 40 km measured by NIES DIAL and comparison with the SAGE II and Ozone sonde.

the Version-2 algorithm and the results show that those corrections worked very well. To validate those achieved profiles, inter-comparison of lidar profiles with other instruments, SAGE II and ozone sondes are performed and very good agreements that 5% from 18-40 km are achieved. Finally, temporal variations of the ozone at 15, 20, 25, 30, 35 and 40 km within the past 15 years are described.

## References

1. H. Nakane, C.B.park et al, "Lidar and millimeter-wave observation of vertical profiles of ozone at Tsukuba (36° N, 140 ° E) and seasonal variations", Proceeding of XX QOS, V1, pp 47-48, 2004
2. C.B.Park, H. Nakane et al, "Measurements of stratospheric ozone, aerosol and temperature vertical profiles during 1988-2001 over Tsukuba with NIES, DIAL", 2002 conference of Meteorological Society of Japan, D203, pp 290, 2002
3. H. Nakane, Y. Sasano, S. Hayashida- Amano, N. Sugimoto, I. Matsui, and A. Minato, "Comparison of ozone profiles obtained with NIES DIAL and SAGE II Measurements," J. Meteorol. Soc. Japan, 71, 153-159, 1992
4. H. Nakane, H., S. Hayashida, Y. Sasano, N. Sugimoto, I. Matsui, and A. Minato, "Vertical profiles of temperature and ozone observed during DYANA campaign with NIES ozone lidar system at Tsukuba," J. Geomeg. Geoelectra., 44, 1071-1083, 1992